APPENDIX 1: DATA EXTRACTION FORM FOR DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY AND CLINICAL UTILITY REVIEW

Reviewer				
RefID				
Author, date				
Country of origin				
Study characteristics				
Study setting				
Study design				
Study duration				
Inclusion/exclusion criteria				
Type of POC assay (cTnT, cTnl, hand-held, desktop); Manufacturer AMI criteria; Test protocol				
Type of central lab assay (cTnT, cTnl, high sensitivity- cTnT, high sensitivity-cTnl); Manufacturer; AMI criteria; Test protocol				
Description of central lab (e.g., on-site or off-site, distance from patient, etc.)				
Health care personnel conducting POC tests				
Conflict of interests (yes, no, none declared, not mentioned)				
Funding status				
Other (other lab diagnostic work up)				
Patient characteristics				
	Intervention: • POC Testing	Comparators: Central lab testing for emergency department setting Standard care for settings where central lab is not available		
Number enrolled Number completing study				
Age, sex				
Symptoms and comorbidities				
Time from symptom onset to testing ("pre-analytic time")				

Other			
	Outcomes		
Diagnostic accuracy			
Sensitivity			
Specificity			
PPV			
NPV			
	Clinical utility		
	Intervention: • POC Testing	Comparators: Central lab testing (for emergency department setting) Standard care for settings (where central lab is not available)	
Benefits and risks: turnaround time time from testing to clinical decision-making ("turnaround time") time to discharge adverse events mortality rate repeat ED visit others			
Evidence-based guidelines recommendations Behaviour/treatment			
patterns of physicians			
Availability			
Acceptability			
Interest			
Ethical, legal, social implications			
Other			
Notes			

 $AMI = acute \ myocardial \ infarction; \ cTnI = cardiac \ troponin \ I; \ cTnT = cardiac \ troponin \ T; \ ED = emergency \ department; \ NPV = negative \ predictive \ value; \ POC = point-of-care; \ PPV = positive \ predictive \ value.$