Appendix Table F99. Clinical outcomes after electrical stimulation compared to no active treatments (results from individual RCTs)

Reference sample/men	Active	Definition of improvement	Randomized active/ control	Active events/ rate	Control events/ rate	Relative risk (95% CI)	Absolute risk differences (95% CI)
Amaro, 2006 <sup>481</sup> 40/0	Effective Intravaginal electrical stimulation using frequency of 4 Hz with 3 20-minute sessions/week	Self reported urge incontinence	20/20	3/15	6/32	0.50 (0.14; 1.73)	-0.15 (-0.40; 0.10)
Amaro, 2005 <sup>480</sup> 40/0	Intravaginal electrical stimulation with 3 20 minute sessions/week using 4 Hz frequency	Urge urinary incontinence at 1 month followup	20/20	3/15	6/32	0.50 (0.14; 1.73)	-0.15 (-0.40; 0.10)
Jeyaseelan, 2000 <sup>545</sup> 27/0	New stimulation pattern by Oldham	Withdrawal of the treatment	13/14	1/8	2/14	0.54 (0.06; 5.26)	-0.07 (-0.30; 0.17)
Brubaker, 1997 <sup>503</sup> 121/0	Transvaginal electric stimulation for 20 minutes 2 times/day using frequency of 20 Hz, a 2-second-4-second work-rest cycle with a range of stimulation intensities, from 0 to 100 mA	Final urodynamic diagnosis of Detrusor over activity	61/60	16/27	25/41	0.63 (0.38; 1.06)	-0.15 (-0.32; 0.01)