Appendix Table F115. Scoring of quality of life after interventions that were implemented by continence specialists when compared to no active treatment (individual RCTs)

Reference	Active	Control	Definition of quality of life	Randomized active/ control	Active mean/standard deviation	Control mean standard deviation	Mean difference (95% CI)
Du Moulin, 2007 ⁵⁷⁵	Continence nurse and multidisciplinary team	Standard care	IIQ (impact) mobility (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	21.00/25.30	17.60/20.40	3.40 (-5.57; 12.37)
			IIQ emotional (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	13.90/25.10	14.00/17.90	-0.10 (-8.62; 8.42)
			IIQ social (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	9.80/18.80	3.70/7.90	6.10 (0.46; 11.74)
			IIQ embarrassment (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	17.90/26.50	17.60/23.00	0.30 (-9.38; 9.98)
			IIQ physical (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	13.50/21.60	11.70/17.70	1.80 (-5.91; 9.51)
			1 year of followup IIQ (impact) mobility (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	18.40/25.00	14.70/18.40	3.70 (-4.87; 12.27)
			1 year of followup IIQ emotional (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	12.40/20.70	12.90/12.70	-0.50 (-7.21; 6.21)
			1 year of followup IIQ social (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	7.80/21.80	5.60/9.40	2.20 (-4.37; 8.77)
			1 year of followup IIQ embarrassment (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	15.40/26.60	13.30/16.30	2.10 (-6.52; 10.72)
			1 year of followup IIQ physical (0 to 100 worse)	50/51	10.40/19.50	9.30/12.40	1.10 (-5.29; 7.49)
			1 year of followup EQ-5D (0 worse to 100)	50/51	73.50/18.30	71.50/8.10	2.00 (-3.54; 7.54)
			Patient satisfaction (1 worse to 10)	50/51	8.20/1.20	7.40/1.10	0.80 (0.35; 1.25)
			Patient satisfaction (1 worse to 10) at 1 year of followup	50/51	8.70/1.00	7.50/1.00	1.20 (0.81; 1.59)
Chadha, 2000 ⁵¹²	National evidence based guidelines	Pre- guidelines levels	Self-reported perception of urinary incontinence, scores	449/449	15.50/20.30	13.90/20.70	1.60 (-1.08; 4.28)
Kim, 2001 ⁵⁴⁷	Continence Efficacy Intervention Program	Conventional care	Improved scores (from 0 to 100)	16/17	37.80/23.90	23.60/18.90	14.20 (-0.56; 28.96)

Appendix Table F115. Scoring of quality of life after interventions that were implemented by continence specialists when compared to no active treatment (individual RCTs) (continued)

Reference	Active	Control	Definition of quality of life	Randomized active/ control	Active mean/standard deviation	Control mean standard deviation	Mean difference (95% CI)
Moore, 2003 ⁵⁷³	2 nurse continence advisors/patient and consulting urogynecologist	Outpatient regimen	Incontinence score	74/71	4.00/1.83	3.00/2.00	1.00 (0.37; 1.63)
			Quality of life Urogenital distress inventory	74/71	18.00/6.17	15.50/5.00	2.50 (0.68; 4.32)
			Short Urogenital distress inventory	74/71	8.00/1.50	6.00/2.50	2.00 (1.33; 2.67)
			Quality of life incontinence impact questionnaire	74/71	36.00/9.33	37.50/3.67	-1.50 (-3.79; 0.79)
			Short incontinence impact questionnaire 7	74/71	11.00/1.33	10.00/2.33	1.00 (0.38; 1.62)
Kim, 2001 ⁵⁴⁷	Continence Efficacy Intervention Program	Conventional care	Continence self-efficacy (16 worse 160)	16/15	140.20/14.60	107.70/34.70	32.50 (13.54; 51.46)
			Score of Improvement by subjective evaluation (0 to 100)	16/15	37.80/23.90	20.00/17.30	17.80 (3.18; 32.42)
Borrie, 2002 ⁴⁹⁹ ,	Lifestyle modification by nurse continence advisers	Usual care	Control over urinary incontinence	210/211			1.20 (0.70; 1.60)
120 men			Acceptance of urinary incontinence	210/211			0.50 (0.00; 0.90)
			Coping with urinary incontinence	210/211			0.60 (0.30; 1.00)
			Knowledge about incontinence	210/211			2.30 (1.90; 2.70)
			IIQ-short form	210/211			3.10 (1.90; 4.30)
			Change in bladder control	210/211			1.70 (1.40; 1.90)
			Change in amount leaked	210/211			1.70 (1.50; 2.00)
			Change in quality of life	210/211			1.50 (1.20; 1.70)

Bold = Significant differences at 95% confidence level