

**Appendix Table F112. Clinical outcomes after continence service compared to no active treatment (results from RCTs pooled with random effects models)**

Outcome	Reference	Active events/ randomized	Control events/ randomized	Relative risk (95% CI)	Weight, %	Absolute risk difference (95% CI)	Weight %	Inclusion of mixed UI
Continence	Kim, 2001 <sup>547</sup>	14/16	2/15	6.56 (1.78; 24.16)	8	0.72 (0.51; 0.98)	30	No
Continence	Moore, 2003 <sup>573</sup>	37/74	27/71	1.31 (0.90; 1.91)	38	0.12 (-0.04; 0.28)	33	Yes
Continence	Williams, 2005 <sup>614</sup>	828/2958	150/788	1.47 (1.26; 1.72)	54	0.09 (0.06; 0.12)	37	Yes
Pooled		879/3048	179/874	1.58 (1.07; 2.34)	100	0.30 (-0.01; 0.60)	100	
Heterogeneity p value I squared				0.07	63	0	93	
Improved UI	O'Brien, 1996 <sup>582</sup>	56/61	102/168	3.11 (1.99; 4.87)	47	0.311 (0.21; 0.41)	47	Yes
Improved UI	Williams, 2005 <sup>614</sup>	1834/2958	410/788	1.19 (1.11; 1.28)	53	0.100 (0.06; 0.14)	53	Yes
Pooled		1890/3019	512/956	1.33 (1.06; 1.68)	100	0.2 (-0.01; 0.41)	100	
Heterogeneity p value I squared				0.00	88.10	0.00	93.20	