Evidence Table 9. Psychosocial outcomes for people with dementia: autonomy, choice, control, pleasure, quality of dying, spiritual well-being

| Author, Year Trial Name Funding Source | Autonomy | Choice | Control | Pleasure | Quality of Dying | Spiritual Well-being |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dowling, 20051 NA Government | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Fritsch, 20092 NA Foundation or non-profit | NR | NR | NR | PGCARS Pleasure Subscale G1: 54/1647 G2: 47/1245 0.869 times less pleasure for G1 p<0.472 | NR | NR |
| Hickman, 20073 NA Government | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Kovach, 20064 NA Government | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Remington, 20026 NA Other | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Rosswurm, 19907 NA Other | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Sloane, 20048 NA Government | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Sloane, 20059 Collaborative Studies of Long-Term Care Government | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
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| Author, Year Trial Name Funding Source | Autonomy | Choice | Control | Pleasure | Quality of Dying | Spiritual Well-being |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sloane, 200810 Collaborative Studies of Long-Term Care Other | NR | NR | NR | NR | Psychosocial status during last month of life  Resident Appeared to be at peace G1: 70.1% G2: 64.2% p=0.304  Received a compassionate touch daily G1: 96.6% G2: 95.1% p=0.399  Dignity Maintained  G1: 90.2% G2: 89.4% p=0.847  At least one staff had close attachment to resident G1: 82.8% G2: 72.1% p=0.528 | NR |
| Tappen, 199411 NA Foundation or non-profit | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Toseland, 199712 NA Government | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Whall, 199713 NA Other | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| Zimmerman, 200514 Dementia Care Project Foundation or non-profit | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR | NR |

Abbreviations: G = group; NA = not applicable; NR = not reported; PGCARS = The Philadelphia Geriatric Center Affect Rating Scale.