

Appendix 1 Table P. Off-Label Comparative Study Quality of Life and Satisfaction Outcomes

Investigator (yr, country, ref #)	Study design	Comparisons No. pts (BMP dose)	Patient diagnosis	Surgical intervention	Outcome measure mean score	Outcome measure % improved or success (p-value)	Comment
Boden et al., 2002 USA (84) Lumbar Spine	Multicenter nonblinded RCT	rhBMP2/CRM plus Texas Scottish Rite Hospital (TSRH) Spinal System (TSRHSS) n=11	single-level lumbar DDD	single-level primary instrumented posteriorlateral lumbar fusion plus rhBMP2 ICBG	NR	Patient satisfaction (% good/excellent) pre, 1.5, 3, 6, 17 mos rhBMP2/CRM/TSRHSS 0, ~75, ~58, ~60, ~60	Patient satisfaction measurements generally paralleled results of SF-36 pain survey and Oswestry DI
		(40 mg/pt) rhBMP2/CRM alone n=11				Physician impression (% good/excellent) pre, 1.5, 3, 6, 17 mos rhBMP2/CRM/TSRHSS 0, ~90, ~80, ~80, ~80	
						Patient satisfaction (% good/excellent) pre, 1.5, 3, 6, 17 mos rhBMP2/CRM alone 0, ~100, ~88, ~88, ~100	
						Physician impression (% good/excellent) pre, 1.5, 3, 6, 17 mos rhBMP2/CRM alone 0, ~100, ~85, ~80, ~85	
						Patient satisfaction (% good/excellent) pre, 1.5, 3, 6, 17 mos ICBG/TSRHSS 0, ~80, ~60, ~80, ~60	
						Physician impression (% good/excellent) pre, 1.5, 3, 6, 17 mos ICBG/TSRHSS 0, ~60, ~80, ~60, ~60	

Burkus et al., 2005 USA (85) Lumbar Spine Note: includes all pts from Burkus et al., 2002, rec# 11510; same pts as Burkus et al., 2006, rec# 6640	Multicenter, nonblinded RCT	rhBMP2 n=79 (8-12 mg/pt)	single-level lumbar lumbar DDD	primary single-level anterior lumbar fusion with a pair of threaded allograft cortical bone dowels (CBD) plus rhBMP2 or ICBG	NR	NR	
Dimar et al., 2009 USA (86) Lumbar Spine Note: contains pts in Glassman et al., 2007, rec# 4040; Dimar et al., 2006 rec# 5480; Glassman et al., 2005, rec# 8040	Multicenter nonblinded RCT	rhBMP2/CRM n=239 (40 mg/pt)	single-level lumbar DDD	single-level primary instrumented posterolateral lumbar fusion plus rhBMP2 or ICBG	NR	NR	
Glassman et al., 2007 USA (99) Lumbar Spine	Retrospective with historical control group	rhBMP2 n=91 (12 mg/pt)	single- and multi-level lumbar DDD, degenerative scoliosis, postdiscectomy instability, spinal stenosis, adjacent level degeneration	single- or multi-level primary or revision instrumented posterolateral lumbar fusion	NR	NR	Study only reported fusion data
Glassman et al., 2008 USA (87)	Multicenter nonblinded RCT	rhBMP2/ACS n=50 (dose not reported)	single- or multi-level lumbar DDD	single- or multi-level primary instrumented posterolateral	NR	NR	

Lumbar Spine		ICBG n=52		lumbar fusion plus rhBMP2 or ICBG			
Haid et al., 2004 USA (88) Lumbar Spine	Multicenter, nonblinded RCT	rhBMP2 n=34 (4.2-8.4)	single-level lumbar DDD	single-level primary posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF) interbody fusion cages plus rhBMP2 or ICBG		Patient satisfaction at 24 mos rhBMP2 72	Patient satisfaction rates comprise results for pts who report definitely and mostly true that they were satisfied with their surgical outcomes
		ICBG N=33		ICBG N=33		ICBG 80	
Johnsson et al., 2002 Sweden (92) Lumbar Spine	Multicenter nonblinded RCT	rhBMP7 n=10 (7 mg/pt)	single-level lumbar DDD	single-level primary uninstrumented posterior lateral lumbar fusion with rhBMP7 or ICBG	NR	NR	
		ICBG n=10		ICBG n=10		ICBG n=10	
Kanayama et al., 2006 Japan, Cleveland (93) Lumbar Spine	Multicenter nonblinded RCT	rhBMP7 n=9 (7 mg/pt)	single-level lumbar DDD	single-level primary instrumented posterior lateral lumbar fusion with rhBMP7 or AGB/CRM	NR	NR	
		AGB/CRM n=10		AGB/CRM n=10		AGB/CRM n=10	
Mummaneni et al., 2004 USA (100) Lumbar Spine	Retrospective single-center cohort study	rhBMP2/AGB n=25 (8.4 mg/pt)	single- or multi-level lumbar DDD	single- or multi- level primary transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (TLIF) with interbody fusion cages with rhBMP2 plus AGB or ICBG alone	Prolo Scale Economic status subscale Mean score at F/U rhBMP2/AGB 3.8 ± 0.8	NR	Statistical analysis not done
					Medication use subscale Mean score at F/U rhBMP2/AGB 3.8 ± 0.9		

		ICBG N=19			Prolo Scale Economic status subscale Mean score at F/U ICBG 4.1 ± 0.7		
					Medication use subscale Mean score at F/U ICBG 4.2 ± 0.8		
Pradhan et al., 2006 USA (101) Lumbar Spine	Prospective consecutive patient single-center cohort study	rhBMP2 n=9 (dose NR)	single-level lumbar DDD	single-level primary anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) with femoral ring allograft (FRA) plus rhBMP2 or ICBG	NR	NR	Study only reported fusion data
		ICBG n=27					
Singh et al., 2006 USA (102) Lumbar Spine	Prospective single-center case-matched cohort study	rhBMP2/ICBG n=39 (12-36 mg/pt)	single- or multi-level lumbar DDD	single- or multi-level primary instrumented posterolateral lumbar fusion with rhBMP2 plus ICBG or ICBG alone	NR	NR	
		ICBG N=11					
Slosar et al., 2007 USA (103) Lumbar Spine	Prospective consecutive patient single-center cohort study	rhBMP2 n=45 (3-9 mg/pt)	single- or multi-level lumbar DDD	single- or multi-level primary instrumented anterior lumbar interbody fusion	NR	Patient satisfaction at 24 mos rhBMP2 86	None of the pts who underwent revision fusions in ALG group expressed satisfaction with their outcomes

		ALG N=30		(ALIF) with femoral ring allograft (FRA) plus rhBMP2 or allograft bone chips (ALG)		ALG 79	
Vaccaro et al., 2008 USA (94) Lumbar Spine	Multicenter nonblinded RCT	rhBMP7 n=207 (7 mg/pt)	single-level lumbar DDD	single-level primary uninstrumented posterolateral lumbar fusion with rhBMP7 or ICBG	NR	NR	
		ICBG n=86					
Vaccaro et al., 2008 USA (95) Lumbar Spine Note: Long-term F/U study that includes all pts from Vaccaro et al., 2004 (184), and Vaccaro et al., 2005, (185)	Multicenter, nonblinded RCT	rhBMP7 n=24 (7 mg/pt)	single-level lumbar DDD	single-level primary uninstrumented posterolateral lumbar fusion with rhBMP7 or ICBG	NR	Patients in both groups displayed increases in the SF-36 mental health component subscale, increasing from the 25th percentile, reaching age-matched normative values at 48 mos. (data not shown)	
		ICBG n=12					
Baskin et al., 2003 USA (89) Cervical Spine	Multicenter, nonblinded RCT	rhBMP2/ALG n=18 (0.6-1.2 mg/pt)	single- or two-level cervical DDD	single- or two-level primary instrumented ACDF with rhBMP2/ALG or ICBG/ALG	NR	Patient satisfaction 24 mos > 90% in both groups	Patient satisfaction related to whether they were satisfied with their results, whether they were helped as much as anticipated, and whether they would have the surgery again
		ICBG/ALG n=15					
Buterman et al., 2008 USA (104) Cervical Spine	Prospective nonrandomized cohorts of consecutive patients	rhBMP2/CRA n=30 (0.9-3.7 mg/pt)	single- or multiple-level cervical DDD	single- or multi-level primary instrumented or uninstrumented ACDF with	NR	Patient-reported success 13-24, 25-36 mos rhBMP2/CRA 90, 89	Patient satisfaction related to whether they were satisfied with their results, whether they would have the surgery

		ICBG n=36		rhBMP2/CRA or ICBG		ICBG 94, 97	again, and whether they would recommend it to others (97% in both groups)
Crawford et al., 2009 USA (105) Cervical Spine	Retrospective cohort of consecutive patients	rhBMP2/BGE n=41 (4.2-12 mg/pt)	single- or multi-level posterior cervical stenosis, ACDF nonunion, or unstable spondylosis	single- or multi-level instrumented posterior cervical spinal fusion with rhBMP2/BGE or ICBG	NR	NR	
		ICBG n=36					
Smucker et al., 2006 USA (106) Cervical Spine	Retrospective case-control	rhBMP2/CRA n=69 (dose NR)	NR	single- or multi-level instrumented ACDF with rhBMP2/CRA or CRA alone	NR	NR	
		CRA n=165					
Vaidya et al., 2007 USA (107) Cervical Spine	Retrospective cohort of consecutive patients	rhBMP2 n=22 (1-3 mg/pt)	single- or multiple-level primary instrumented ACDF with interbody fusion cages rhBMP2 on ACS or ALG/DBM	single- or multi-level primary instrumented ACDF with interbody fusion cages rhBMP2 on ACS or ALG/DBM	NR	NR	
		ALG/DBM n=24					
Boraiah et al., 2009 USA (108) Acute Tibial Fractures	Retrospective case series	rhBMP2 (1) n=17 (12 mg/pt)	Complex tibial plateau fractures	Surgery for Acute traumatic tibial plateau fractures	NR	NR	
		(2) n=23 no BMP					
Jones et al., 2006 USA (90) Acute Tibial Fractures	Multicenter prospective RCT	rhBMP2 (1) n=15 (12 mg/pt with allograft bone chips)	Diaphyseal tibial fracture with cortical defects	Reconstruction of diaphyseal tibial fractures with cortical defect	NR	NR	

		(2) n=15 autogenous bone graft					
Ristiniemi et al., 2007 Finland (110) Acute Tibial Fractures (same pts as rec#4560)	Retrospective cohort of matched patients	Rh-BMP7 N=20	Distal tibial fracture (OTA zone 43) treated with external fixation	Distal tibial fracture (OTA zone 43) treated with external fixation by BMP7 and graft	Iowa Ankle Score: BMP: 84(70 to 100)	NR	
		Matched Zone 43 fracture (OREF) N=20			Matched: 81.6 (46 to 98) P=.6		
Bilic et al., 2006 Croatia, Netherlands (96) Miscellaneous Off- Label Uses	Single-center, unblinded RCT	rhBMP7/AGB n=6 (3.5 mg/pt)	symptomatic proximal pole scaphoid nonunion	revision of nonunion	NR	NR	
		rhBMP7/ALG n=6 (3.5 mg/pt)					
		ICBG n=6					
Dickinson et al., 2008 USA (91) Miscellaneous Off- Label Uses	Single-center RCT	rhBMP2/ACS n=9 (dose not given)	unilateral cleft lip- palate with an alveolar cleft defect	repair of unilateral cleft lip-palate with an alveolar cleft defect	NR	NR	
		ICBG n=12					
Ekrol et al., 2008 UK (97) Miscellaneous Off- Label Uses	Prospective randomized cohort	rhBMP2 Non bridging external fixation N=4	Osteotomy of the distal radius for symptomatic malunion (with and without external fixation)	Osteotomy of the distal radius for symptomatic malunion (with and without external fixation) with RhBMP-7 and autologous bone graft	NR	NR	
		Bone graft Non bridging external fixation N=6					
		RhBMP-7 internal fixation w/ pi-plate N=10					

		Bone graft internal fixation w/ pi-plate N=10					
Geesink et al., 1999 Netherlands (98) Miscellaneous Off-Label Uses	Prospective double-blind randomized study	Untreated N=6 DMB N=6 Collagen type I N=6 OP-1 (2.5mg) with Collagen type I N=6	High tibial osteotomy	High tibial osteotomy with three osteoinductive materials	HSS mean score increased in all groups over time and was comparable at every followup. 68 before operation and 90 post-op	21 overall satisfied, 3 not satisfied. 1 unsatisfied in untreated, 1 op-1, 1 DMB	
Karrholm et al., 2006 UK (111) Miscellaneous Off-Label Uses	Single-center case-control	Cups rhBMP7/ALG (1 g/pt) n=10 Cups ALG n=10 Stems rhBMP7/ALG (1 g/pt) n=11 Stems ALG n=30	required revision of total hip arthroplasty	impaction grafting for revision of hip arthroplasty	NR	NR	
Maeda et al., 2009 USA, Japan (109)	Cohort study with nonconcurrent control group	rhBMP2/BGE n=23 (64-320 mg/pt) ICBG n=32	spinal deformity	primary instrumented posterior spinal fusion from thoracic spine to the sacrum or ilium, or anterior fusion between same locations using interbody fusion cage	NR	NR	