



© Google. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

# **16.485: VNAV** - Visual Navigation for Autonomous Vehicles

#### Luca Carlone





Lecture 21: Place Recognition

Recap

#### Visual odometry





SLAM requires:

- place recognition => loop closure detection and / or
- Object detection => landmark detection

### Need for loop closure





R. Mur-Artal, J. M. M. Montiel and J. D. Tardós, "ORB-SLAM: A Versatile and Accurate Monocular SLAM System," in IEEE Transactions on Robotics, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1147-1163, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2015.2463671.© IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

Raúl Mur-Artal, J. M. M. Montiel and Juan D. Tardós

#### Today

Place recognition

#### Object detection / recognition

#### Visual Place Recognition: A Survey

Stephanie Lowry, Niko Sünderhauf, Paul Newman, *Fellow, IEEE*, John J. Leonard, *Fellow, IEEE*, David Cox, Peter Corke, *Fellow, IEEE*, and Michael J. Milford, *Member, IEEE* 

Abstract—Visual place recognition is a challenging problem due to the vast range of ways in which the appearance of real-world places can vary. In recent years, improvements in visual sensing capabilities, an ever-increasing focus on long-term mobile robot autonomy, and the ability to draw on state-of-the-art research in other disciplines-particularly recognition in computer vision and animal navigation in neuroscience-have all contributed to significant advances in visual place recognition systems. This paper presents a survey of the visual place recognition research landscape. We start by introducing the concepts behind place recognition-the role of place recognition in the animal kingdom, how a "place" is defined in a robotics context, and the major components of a place recognition system. Long-term robot operations have revealed that changing appearance can be a significant factor in visual place recognition failure; therefore, we discuss how place recognition solutions can implicitly or explicitly account for appearance change within the environment. Finally, we close with a discussion on the future of



Fig. 1. Visual place recognition systems must be able to (a) successfully match very perceptually different images while (b) also rejecting incorrect matches between aliased image pairs of different places.

# + a few more recent papers

S. Lowry et al., "Visual Place Recognition: A Survey," in IEEE Transactions on Robotics, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 1-19, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2015.2496823.© IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

### Place Recognition & Image Retrieval



Does the image at time "t" picture a place seen in previous images?

## Place Recognition: Challenges

#### Appearance changes:

- Illumination
- Weather conditions
- Dynamic objects
  (people, cars,...)
- Viewpoint changes
- Perceptual aliasing: two different places may look similar (building, roads, ...)







S. Lowry et al., "Visual Place Recognition: A Survey," in IEEE Transactions Robotics, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 1-19, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2015.2496823.© IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/



### A brute force approach



Scalability is crucial..

### Image Retrieval

© Google. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/





### Image Retrieval: Approaches

- Local descriptors

- Global descriptors

- Learning-based methods





(b)

[courtesy of Lowry'16]

Figure 4 in S. Lowry et al., "Visual Place Recognition: A Survey," in IEEE Transactions on Robotics, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 1-19, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2015.2496823. © IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

#### Local descriptors

#### SIFT, SURF, ORB, Brief, ...



#### Naive approach: stack all descriptors in a vector



Is this a good image descriptor?

### Local descriptors: Bag of Words

Based on text retrieval and summarization methods

- 1) Extract features and descriptors in image
- 2) Discretize feature space (clustering)
- 3) Store the frequency of the features for each image





Sivic and Zisserman, "Video Google: a text retrieval approach to object matching invideos," Proceedings Ninth IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, Nice, France, 2003, pp. 1470-1477 vol.2, doi: 10.1109/ICCV.2003.1238663. © IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

Each cluster is a "visual word" Typically 5k-10k (up to 100k) visual words

J. Sivic and A. Zisserman. Video Google: A<sup>1</sup>text retrieval approach to object matching in videos. In *ICCV*, 2003.

### Local descriptors: Bag of Words





Two images are compared based on the corresponding histogram (Hamming distances, other metrics, ...)

Faster version: vocabulary tree

Alternatives: **VLAD** (Vector of Locally Aggregated Descriptors), **Fisher vectors** 



#### From collection of features/objects to global properties:



Oliva, A., Torralba, A. Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A Holistic Representation of the Spatial Envelope. International Journal of Computer Vision 42, 145–175 (2001). © Springer Nature. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

#### Early approaches:

- color histograms
- principal component analysis
- other statistics on edges, corners, and color patches



Oliva, A., Torralba, A. Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A Holistic Representation of the Spatial Envelope. International Journal of Computer Vision 42, 145–175 (2001). © Springer Nature. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

#### Early 2000:

#### • GIST descriptor:

- image is filtered at different orientations and different frequencies to extract information from the image
- results are averaged to generate a compact vector that represents the "gist" of a scene



[Oliva, Torralba'01]:

Oliva, A., Torralba, A. Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A Holistic Representation of the Spatial Envelope. International Journal of Computer Vision 42, 145–175 (2001). © Springer Nature. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

- 20 ms: observers used the low spatial frequency part of hybrids (street in Fig. B)
- 150 ms: observers categorized the image on the basis of the high spatial frequencies (e.g., beach in Fig. B)
   A. Oliva and A. Torralba, Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A



[Oliva, Torralba'01]: evidence that visual input is processed at different spatial scales (from low to high spatial frequency):

- Low frequency: less sensitive to noise and nuisances, but also less details
- High-frequency: finer details

Oliva, A., Torralba, A. Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A Holistic Representation of the Spatial Envelope. International Journal of Computer Vision 42, 145–175 (2001). © Springer Nature. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/





- Compute weights by doing Principal Component on the responses to multi-scale filters
- Weights mapped to properties (openness, naturalness, roughness, expansion, ...)



Oliva, A., Torralba, A. Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A Holistic Representation of the Spatial Envelope. International Journal of Computer Vision 42, 145–175 (2001). © Springer Nature. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

## GIST and Spatial Envelop



Flat view of a man-made environment, vertically structured. Small space with large elements.



Flat view of a man-made semi-closed urban environment.



Man-made open environment.



Man-made closed urban environment.



Flat view of a man-made closed urban environment. Large space with small elements.



Perspective view of a man-made open environment.



Perspective view of a man-made closed urban environment. Large space with small elements.



Flat view of a man-made urban environment, vertically structured.

Oliva, A., Torralba, A. Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A Holistic Representation of the Spatial Envelope. International Journal of Computer Vision 42, 145–175 (2001). © Springer Nature. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

### Local vs. Global Descriptors

#### Local descriptors:

- allow estimating feature (and camera) geometry
- sensitive to lighting conditions and seasonal variations

#### Global descriptors:

- better at handling lighting conditions and seasonal variation
- more sensitive to viewpoint changes



Figure 1. Comparison of Spatial Sift and Gist features for a scene recognition task. Both set of features have a strong correlation in the performance across the 15 scene categories. Average performance for the different features are: Gist: 73.0%, Pyramid matching: 73.4%, bag of words: 64.1%, and color pixels (SSD): 30.6%. In all cases we use an SVM.

Figure 2 in A. Quattoni and A. Torralba, "Recognizing indoor scenes," 2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Miami, FL, 2009, pp. 413-420, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2009.5206537. © IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

A. Quattoni and A. Torralba, Recognizing Indoor Scenes, CVPR'09.

### Deep Learning Revolution

- -new take on algorithms
- -large amount of data
- -large amount of computing (GPU)

# **2010**: ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) is launched

© ImageNet. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/



14M images

objects/ bounding boxes

>1k classes

## Deep Learning Revolution

#### AlexNet:

- winning entry in ILSVRC 2012
- CNN
- 10% error reduction



Neural Network Architecture



### Learning-based Descriptors: NetVLAD

**Earlier approaches**: using AlexNet or similar and use layers activations as descriptors

#### **NetVLAD**:

- CNN-based approach
- Trained on the task of place recognition
- Clever use of Internet data for training

Figure 2 in R. Arandjelović, P. Gronat, A. Torii, T. Pajdla and J. Sivic, "NetVLAD: CNN Architecture for Weakly Supervised Place Recognition," in IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 1437-1451, 1 June 2018, doi: 10.1109/ TPAMI.2017.2711011. © IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/



R. Arandjelovix, P. Gronat, J. Sivic, NetVLAD: CNN Architecture for Weakly Supervised Place Recognition, CVPR'16.

## Learning-based Descriptors: NetVLAD

#### How to get labeled data?

- a large dataset of panoramic images from the Google Street **View Time Machine**
- positions based on their (noisy) GPS
- Seasonal variations
- Illumination changes

Oliva, A., Torralba, A. Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A Holistic Representation of the Spatial Envelope. International Journal of Computer Vision 42, 145–175 (2001). © Springer. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/fag-fair-use/











(b)





(a) (c) Figure 4. Google Street View Time Machine examples. Each column shows perspective images generated from panoramas from nearby locations, taken at different times. A well designed method can use this source of imagery to learn to be invariant to changes in viewpoint and lighting (a-c), and to moderate occlusions (b). It can also learn to suppress confusing visual information such as clouds (a), vehicles and people (b-c), and to chose to either ignore vegetation or to learn a season-invariant vegetation representation (a-c). More examples are given in appendix **B**.



True positives (TP): correct matches False positives (FP): incorrect matches False negatives (FN): missed matches



#### Perfect system:

100% precision (0 FP) 100% recall (0 FN)

### Learning-based Descriptors: NetVLAD



Figure 5. Comparison of our methods versus off-the-shelf networks and state-of-the-art. The base CNN architecture is denoted in brackets: (A)lexNet and (V)GG-16. Trained representations (red and magenta for AlexNet and VGG-16) outperform by a large margin off-the-shelf ones (blue, cyan, green for AlexNet, Places205, VGG-16),  $f_{VLAD}$  (-o-) works better than  $f_{max}$  (-x-), and our  $f_{VLAD}$ +whitening (-\*-) representation based on VGG-16 sets the state-of-the-art on all datasets. [80] only evaluated on Tokyo 24/7 as the method relies on depth data not available in other datasets. Additional results are shown in appendix C.

Oliva, A., Torralba, A. Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A Holistic Representation of the Spatial Envelope. International Journal of Computer Vision 42, 145–175 (2001). © Springer. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

- query image is deemed correctly localized if at least one of the top N retrieved database images is within d = 25 meters from the ground truth position of the query.

- percentage of correctly recognized queries (Recall) is then plotted for different values of N

R. Arandjelovix, P. Gronat, J. Sivic, NetVLAD: CNN Architecture for Weakly Supervised Place Recognition, CVPR'16.

### Local vs. Learned Descriptors

- learned descriptors typically outperform SIFT in terms of recall, while SIFT performs better in terms of precision
- advanced SIFT variants outperform learned features
- learned descriptors have high variance across the different datasets (i.e., over-fitting)



Schonberg et al., Comparative Evaluation of Hand-Crafted and Learned Local Features, CVPR'17.

#### Today

Place recognition

#### Object detection / recognition

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ROBOTICS, VOL. 32, NO. 1, FEBRUARY 2016

#### Visual Place Recognition: A Survey

Stephanie Lowry, Niko Sünderhauf, Paul Newman, *Fellow, IEEE*, John J. Leonard, *Fellow, IEEE*, David Cox, Peter Corke, *Fellow, IEEE*, and Michael J. Milford, *Member, IEEE* 

Abstract—Visual place recognition is a challenging problem due to the vast range of ways in which the appearance of real-world places can vary. In recent years, improvements in visual sensing capabilities, an ever-increasing focus on long-term mobile robot autonomy, and the ability to draw on state-of-the-art research in other disciplines-particularly recognition in computer vision and animal navigation in neuroscience-have all contributed to significant advances in visual place recognition systems. This paper presents a survey of the visual place recognition research landscape. We start by introducing the concepts behind place recognition-the role of place recognition in the animal kingdom, how a "place" is defined in a robotics context, and the major components of a place recognition system. Long-term robot operations have revealed that changing appearance can be a significant factor in visual place recognition failure; therefore, we discuss how place recognition solutions can implicitly or explicitly account for appearance change within the environment. Finally, we close with a discussion on the future of



Fig. 1. Visual place recognition systems must be able to (a) successfully match very perceptually different images while (b) also rejecting incorrect matches between aliased image pairs of different places.

# + a few more recent papers

1

S. Lowry et al., "Visual Place Recognition: A Survey," in IEEE Transactions on Robotics, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 1-19, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2015.2496823. © IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

## Traditional Object Detectors

 template matching (sliding window)

template





© source unknown. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

#### - feature-based





## Traditional Object Detectors

- Object proposal + object classification



Zitnick C.L., Dollár P. (2014) Edge Boxes: Locating Object Proposals from Edges. In: Fleet D., Pajdla T., Schiele B., Tuytelaars T. (eds) Computer Vision – ECCV 2014. ECCV 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 8693. Springer Nature, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10602-1\_26 © Springer. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

#### (robustness? speed?)

L. Zitnick and P. Dollar, Edge Boxes: Locating Object Proposals from Edges  $_{\mathtt{29}}$  ECCV'14

### Learning-based Object Detection: YOLO



Figure 1 in J. Redmon, S. Divvala, R. Girshick and A. Farhadi, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection," 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Las Vegas, NV, 2016, pp. 779-788, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2016.91. © IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Comn license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

Figure 1: The YOLO Detection System. Processing images with YOLO is simple and straightforward. Our system (1) resizes the input image to  $448 \times 448$ , (2) runs a single convolutional network on the image, and (3) thresholds the resulting detections by the model's confidence.

- YOLO processes images 45 frames per second.
- A smaller version of the network, Fast YOLO, processes an 155fps

Redmond et al, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection", CVPR'16.

### Learning-based Object Detection: YOLO



**Figure 3:** The Architecture. Our detection network has 24 convolutional layers followed by 2 fully connected layers. Alternating  $1 \times 1$  convolutional layers reduce the features space from preceding layers. We pretrain the convolutional layers on the ImageNet classification task at half the resolution ( $224 \times 224$  input image) and then double the resolution for detection.

Figures 2 and 3 in J. Redmon, S. Divvala, R. Girshick and A. Farhadi, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection," 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Las Vegas, NV, 2016, pp. 779-788, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2016.91. © IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/





Final detections

#### Image is split in S x S grid.

#### Yolo is trained to predict:

- B bounding boxes in each grid cell (x, y, h, w, confidence)
- A class label for each cell

### Learning-based Object Detection: YOLO

mAP: mean Average Precision (average precision value for recall value over 0 to 1).

| <b>Real-Time Detectors</b> | Train     | mAP  | FPS |
|----------------------------|-----------|------|-----|
| 100Hz DPM [31]             | 2007      | 16.0 | 100 |
| 30Hz DPM [31]              | 2007      | 26.1 | 30  |
| Fast YOLO                  | 2007+2012 | 52.7 | 155 |
| YOLO                       | 2007+2012 | 63.4 | 45  |
| Less Than Real-Time        |           |      |     |
| Fastest DPM [38]           | 2007      | 30.4 | 15  |
| R-CNN Minus R [20]         | 2007      | 53.5 | 6   |
| Fast R-CNN [14]            | 2007+2012 | 70.0 | 0.5 |
| Faster R-CNN VGG-16[28]    | 2007+2012 | 73.2 | 7   |
| Faster R-CNN ZF [28]       | 2007+2012 | 62.1 | 18  |
| YOLO VGG-16                | 2007+2012 | 66.4 | 21  |

**Table 1: Real-Time Systems on PASCAL VOC 2007.** Comparing the performance and speed of fast detectors. Fast YOLO is the fastest detector on record for PASCAL VOC detection and is still twice as accurate as any other real-time detector. YOLO is 10 mAP more accurate than the fast version while still well above real-time in speed.

#### Limitations of YOLO:

- **small objects**: "each grid cell only predicts B boxes and can only have one class. This spatial constraint limits the number of nearby objects that our model can predict. Our model struggles with small objects that appear in groups, such as flocks of birds."

 generalization: fails to detect objects in new or unusual aspect ratios or configurations.

Table 1 in J. Redmon, S. Divvala, R. Girshick and A. Farhadi, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection," 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Las Vegas, NV, 2016, pp. 779-788, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2016.91. IEEE. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/faq-fair-use/

### YOLO

Redmond et al, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection", CVPR'16.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uG2UOasIx2I

MIT OpenCourseWare <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/</u>

16.485 Visual Navigation for Autonomous Vehicles (VNAV) Fall 2020

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.